SiO₂@FeSO₄ NANO COMPOSITE AS NANOCATALYST FOR THE GREEN SYNTHESIS 1,1-DIACETATES FROM ALDEHYDES UNDER SOLVENT-FREE CONDITIONS

MOSTAFA KARIMKOSHTEH*1,2, MARZIYEH BAGHERI¹, BEHZAD ZEYNIZADEH¹

¹Department of chemistry, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia 57159-165, Iran ²Institute of Young Researchers in Nanotechnology, Razavi Khorasan, Mashhad, Iran

ABSTRACT

Aldehydes compounds selective converted to 1,1-diacetates as protective reagent with $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ nano composite as effective nano catalyst at room temperature under solvent-free condition and acetic anhydride (Ac₂O) as acetylation reagent. This method provides several advantages, such as low cost of the nano catalyst, high yields, short reaction time, chemoselective protection of aldehydes and operational simplicity. Aromatic and aliphatic, simple and conjugated aldehydes were protected with excellent yields. In addition, chemoselective reductive acetylation of aldehydes over ketones was achieved perfectly with the reagent at room temperature.

Keywords: Aldehydes, 1,1-Diacetates, SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst, Acetic anhydride, Solvent-free

1. INTRODUCTION

The term nanotechnology is employed to describe the creation and exploitation of materials with structural features in between those of atoms and bulk materials, properties of materials of nanometric dimensions are significantly di \Box erent from those of atoms as well as those of bulk materials.¹

Nanocomposite is a multiphase solid material where one of the phases has one, two or three dimensions of less than 100 nanometers (nm), or structures having nano-scale repeat distances between the different phases that make up the material. The properties of nano-composite materials depend not only on the properties of their individual parents but also on their morphology and interfacial characteristics. Experimental work has generally shown that virtually all types and classes of nanocomposite materials lead to new and improved properties when compared to their macrocomposite counterparts.^{2,3}

In organic reaction protection of functional groups during synthesis compounds are essential.⁴ One of the important functional groups is aldehydes, because of easy converted to other organic groups for examples, alcohol,⁵ carboxylic acids,⁶ aldoximes.⁷ moreover, the diacetates of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes serve as an important precursor for Diels–Alder reactions. Some industrial uses of these compounds have also been reported.⁸ Examples of the reagents and catalysts that have been developed for this purpose include SelectfluorTM, ⁹ MWCNTs-C-PO₃H₂, ¹⁰ PEG–SO₃H, ¹¹ Si-[SbSipim][PF₆], ¹² PS/ TiCl₄, ¹³ ZSM-5-SO₄H, ¹⁴ [Ti(salophen)(OTf),]¹⁵ and [bmpy]HSO₄.¹⁶

So in the course of our studies with nano catalyst⁷ for effective synthesis, organic compound, we observed that 1,1-diacetates synthesis of aldehydes efficiently present of SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst at solvent-free condition with high to excellent yield (Scheme 1). An important advantage of the use of SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst is the possibility to use a small amount of catalyst.



R' = ary, alkyl,H (ref. table 2)

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1,1-diacetates from aldehydes.

2. Experimental procedure:

2.1. Chemicals and apparatus

All reagents and substrates were purchased from commercial sources with the best quality and used without further purification. $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ catalyst was prepared with high purity according to the reported procedures in the literature .¹⁷ IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet Nexus 670 FT-IR spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz Bruker Avance spectrometer in 300.13 an 75.46 MHz, respectively, and the X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using Philips APD-10 X-ray diffracto- meter with Cu K α radiation. All yields refer to isolated pure products. TLC using silica gel 60 GF₂₅₄ aluminum sheet was applied for determination of the purity of substrates and products as well as monitoring the reaction.

Method1, typical experimental procedure for the preparation of 1,1-diacetates:

In a typical procedure, a mixture of benzaldehyde (106mg, 1mmol), Ac₂O (306mg, 3 mmol) and SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst (53mg, 0.25mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. After completion of the reaction, as indicated by TLC, diethylether was added and the mixture was washed successively with 1 M NaOH solution (10 mL), brine (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL). the organic layer was separated and dried (Na₂SO₄). Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure furnished almost pure product. Further purification was achieved by column chromatography (silica gel, ethylacetate: hexane = 1:9) or recrystallization from EOAc: hexane to afford a pure product in 98% yields (Table 2).

2.2. Selected spectral data of the products:

1,1-Diacetoxy-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-methane (1). solid:85-87 °C; IR (KBr) υ_{max} 3080,3017, 2937, 1763, 1430, 1375, 1235, 1194, 1078 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.15 (s, 6H), 7.3-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.43 (s,1H), 7.5-7.53 (d, j=8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.46MHz) δ 20.64, 86.64, 127.40, 128.73, 129.80, 132.01, 133.89, 136.23, 168.24.

1,1-Diacetoxy-3-phenyl-2-propene (15). solid: 84-86 °C; IR (KBr) υ_{max} 3030, 2925, 1758, 1626, 1496, 1449, 1244, 1199, 1134, 1064, 997, 750, 692 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.12 (s, 6H), 6.18-6.26 (m, 1H), 6.85-6.9 (m,1H), 7.31-7.55 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.46MHz) δ 20.89, 89.74, 121.72, 127.02, 128.68, 128.83, 129.07, 135.62, 168.75.

1,1-Diacetoxy-1-naphthylmethane (16). solid: 114-118 °C; IR (KBr) υ_{max} 3058, 2926, 1762, 1693, 1372, 1238, 1208, 1098, 1051, 1011, 920, 808 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 2.16 (s, 6H), 7.47-7.68 (m, 3H), 7.73-7.75 (d, j=6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.87-7.93 (m, 2H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.46MHz) δ 20.90, 89.63, 124.14, 124.94, 126.03, 126.07, 126.98, 128.76, 130.29, 130.61, 130.84, 133.96, 168.77.

1,1-Diacetoxy ethane (17). Colorless liquid: 165–168 °C; IR (KBr) υ_{max} 3465, 2984, 2937, 1762, 1433, 1374, 1243, 1123, 1084, 983, 917 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 1.28-1.30 (d, j=6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.86-2.17 (m, 6H), 6.85-6.89 (q, j=4.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75.46MHz) δ 20.29, 20.80, 21.19, 87.84, 170.01.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Herein, we wish to apply $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ catalyst in protected aldehyde to 1,1-diacetates compounds under solvent-free condition.

Characterization of the SiO₂@FeSO₄ nano composite was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM image of the catalyst exhibited that the spherical nanoparticles dispersed well (Figure 1).

We first examined the acylation of benzaldehyde using Ac_2O in the absence of SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst. The reaction was sluggish and no corresponding 1,1-diacetate was formed even after many hours. However, in the presence of 0.25 molar equivalent $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ catalyst, the reaction progressed smoothly with benzaldehyde at room temperature to afford excellent yield of the corresponding 1,1-diacetate (Table 1 entry 2).

Likewise, we have applied this protocol for the protection of aliphatic, aromatic, α , β -unsaturated and phthalaldehyde and the results are summarized in Table 2. Both activated and deactivated aromatic aldehydes were converted to 1,1-diacetates. The tolerances of various functional groups have been examined by reacting the substrates with chloro, methyl, methoxy and nitro groups, and the reaction conditions are compatible with these functional groups.

This protocol was then applied to protect naphthaldehydes. The yields of the corresponding 1,1-diacetates of naphthaldehydes (Table 2, entries 16) are good, although the time required for the completion of the reaction was longer compared to other aldehydes.

	Table 1. Optimization of reacti	on condition for	conversion of benzaldehy	de
to	1,1-diacetates with SiO,@FeSO	a catalyst /Ac,O	system.	

Entry	Molar ratio ^a	Condition	Time (min)	Conversion (%)
1	1:0.25:1	Solvent-free/RT	30	25
2	1:0.25:3	Solvent-free/RT	5	100
3	1:0.25:5	Solvent-free/RT	5	100
4	1:0.25:1.5	Solvent-free/RT	8	100
5	1:0.25:2	Solvent-free/RT	5	100
6	1:_:2	Solvent-free/RT	30	15

^a Benzaldehyde/ SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst /Ac₂O molar ratio.

Fable2.Conversion of aldehydes to 1,1-diacetate	s with SiO2@FeSO	⁴ nano composite /Ac ₂ O system ^a
---	------------------	--

En	Substrate	product	Molar ratio ^b	Time (min)	Yield (%) ^c	Mp.°C (Lit)	Ref.
1	СІ—СНО	Cl Cl CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.25:3	4	98	87-89 (89)	[18]
2	СІ	Cl	1:0.25:3	5	98	57-59 (59)	[19]
3	СНО ОСН3	CH _{(OAc)2} OCH ₃	1:0.25:3	5	98	194-195 (190-196)	[24]
4	СНО	CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.25:4	5	96	101-103 (103-104)	[23]
5	Br CHO	Br CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.25:3	5	98	83(85)	[24]
6	н ₃ со	H ₃ CO-CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.3:4	4	98	193(193)	[24]
7	CHO HO	AcO - CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.45:4	6	97	98-99 (97-100)	[23]
8	Н ₃ С-СНО	H ₃ C -CH _{(OAc)2}	1:0.25:3	4	98	80-82 (81-82)	[20]
9	СІСНО	ClCH(OAc) ₂	1:0.25:3	6	98	81-83 (82-83)	[20]
10	O2N CHO	O ₂ N-CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.25:2.5	4	98	124-126 (125- 27)	[20]
11	Н ₃ СО-СНО	H ₃ CO AcO	1:0.5:3	4	98	105-107 (109-112)	[21]
12	НО-СНО	AcO-CH _{(OAc)2}	1:0.4:3	6	98	89-90 (89-90)	[21]

13	HO-CHO H ₃ CO	AcO \leftarrow CH _{(OAc)2} H ₃ CO	1:0.45:3	4	97	90-91 (90-91)	[21]
14	СНО	CH(OAc)2	1:0.25:3	5	98	42-44 (44-45)	[20]
15	Ph	Ph CH(OAc)2	1:0.6:3	4	96	83-85 (84-85)	[22]
16	СНО	CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.35:4	4	96	116-118 (114-118)	[22]
17	✓ ^{CHO}	CH(OAc) ₂	1:0.40:3	4	96	Oil	
18	O CH ₃	AcO OAc CH ₃	1:2:4	30	NR		
19	° "L	AcO OAc	1:1:4	30	NR		

^a all reaction were carried out under solvent-free conditions at RT; ^b Subs SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst / Ac₂O molar ratio; ^c yields refer to isolated pure.

As aldehydes are converted faster than ketones, this procedure affords chemoselective protection of aldehydes in the presence of ketones (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Chemoselective synthesis acylal from aldehyde

A plausible mechanism for the $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ catalyzed acetylation reaction was suggested. The nano catalyst facilitates the synthesis process through coordination with carbonyl of aldehyde and prompt carbonyl of acetic anhydride easy reaction.



Figure1. SEM image of synthesis SiO₂@FeSO₄ nanoparticles.



Figure2. FT-IR spectra (KBr) of the synthesized SiO₂@FeSO₄ catalyst.

In order to show the efficiency of this method, we compared our results with those reported in the literature as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison of conversion of aldehydes to 1,1-diacetates with SiO₃@FeSO₄ catalyst /Ac₂O system and other reported reaction systems.

			Time (min) /Yield(%)					
En	system	condition	benzalde -hyde	4-hydroxy- benzalde -hyde	4-nitro- benzalde -hyde	Cinnamalde -hyde	1-naphth -aldehyde	
1	(Selectfluor TM) ⁹	Solvent-free	70/86		45/84		60/80	
		Microwave	5/99		7/94		15/85	
2	MWCNTs-C-PO ₃ H ₂ ¹⁰	Solvent-free	5/90	90/70	5/92	5/88		
3	Nano SiO2Cl 25	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /40°C	15/95	65/78	10/92			
4	PEG–SO ₃ H ¹¹	Solvent-free	15/89	15/88	15/97			
5	Si-[SbSipim][PF ₆] ¹²	Solvent-free	180/90	240/86				
6	PS/TiCl ₄ ¹³	CH ₂ Cl ₂	40/93	50/88	75/84	40/89		
7	ZSM-5-SO ₃ H ¹⁴	Solvent-free	1/95		4/97			
'		CH ₂ Cl ₂	4/50		4/97			
8	[Ti(salophen)(OTf) ₂] ¹⁵	CH ₃ CN						
9	[bmpy]HSO ₄ ¹⁶	Ultrasound	5/97			7/96		
10	SiO ₂ @FeSO ₄ nano composite	Solvent-free	5/98	6/98	4/98	4/96	4/96	

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown the application of $SiO_2@FeSO_4$ catalyst for the efficient catalyzed synthesis of various 1,1-diacetates in high yields under solvent-free conditions. Simplicity, excellent yields, mildness and eco-friendly aspects of this synthetic protocol are the advantages which make this system an effective way to the present methodologies in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank and gratefully acknowledge financial support of this work by the research council of Urmia University and Nano Research Center of Iran.

REFERENCES

- a) K. Schwirn, L. Tietjen, I. Beer, *Environmental Sciences Europe*. 26, 2014 b) Y. Liu, J. Zou, X. Zeng, X. Wu, D. Li, W. Ding, *J. Phys. Chem. C*. 118(32), 18401, (2014).
- L. Wang, M. Rocci-Lane, P. Brazis, C. R. Kannewurf, Y. Kim, W. Lee, J. H. Choy, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **122**, 6629, (2000).
- M. Wachhold, K. Rangan, S. J. L. Billinge, V. Petkov, J. Heising, M. G. Kanatzidis, *Advanced Mater.* 12, 85, (2000).
- G. Sartor, R. Ballin, F. Bigi, G. Bosica, R. Maggi, P. Righi, *Chem. Rev.* 104, 199, (2004).
- D. Setamdideh, M. Rahmatollahzadeh, J. Mex. Chem. Soc. 56(2), 169, (2012).
- D. Könning, T. Olbrisch, F. D. Sypaseuth, C. C. Tzschucke, M. Christmann, Chem. Commun., 50, 5014, (2014).
- 7. B. Zeynizadeh, M. KarimKoshteh, J.Nanostructure. Chem. 3, 57, (2013).
- 8. J. G. Frick, R. J. Harper, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1433, (1984).
- 9. S. Rezayati, R. Hajinasiri, Z. Erfani, Res Chem Intermed. 69, 536, (2015).
- F. Dehghani, A. R. Sardarian, M. M. Doroodmand, *Chinese Chemical Letters*. 25, 1630, (2014).
- 11. Y. X. Zong, J. K. Wang, Y. Y. Niu, Zh. L. Li, Zh. E. Song, Zh. J. Quan, X.
- C. Wang, G. R. Yue, Y. Pan, *Chinese Chemical Letters*. 24, 140, (2013).
 L. Q. Kang, Y. Q. Cai, L. Cheng, *Monatsh Chem*. 144, 247, (2013).
- A. Rahmatpour, S. Mohammadian, *Comptes Rendus Chimie*, (in press).
- A. R. Massah, R. J. Kalbasi, A. Shafiei, *Monatsh Chem.* 143, 643, (2012).
- A. K. Massan, K. J. Kalossi, A. Sharet, Monatish Chem. 140, 605, (2012).
 M. Yadegari, M. Moghadam, Sh.Tangestaninejad, V. Mirkhani, I. Mohammadpoor-Baltork, *Inorganica Chimica Acta*. 388, 102, (2012).
- S. P. Borikar, Th. Daniel, Ultrasonics Sonochemistry. 18, 928, (2012).
- M. Ralleanu, M. Crian, C. Petrache, D. Crian, A. Jitianu, M. Zaharescu, D. Predoi, V. V. Kuncser, G. Filoti, *Rom. J. Phys.* 50, 595, (2004).

- F. Shirini, M. Mamaghani, T. Mostashari-Rad, M. Abedini, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc*, **31(8)**, 2399, (2010).
- 19. S. C. Roy, B. Banerjee, Synlett, 10, 1677, (2002).
- 20. B. R. Jermy, A. Pandurangan, Catal. Commun., 9, 577, (2008).
- 21. T. S. Jin, G. Sun, Y. W. Li, T. S. Li, Green Chem., 4, 255, (2002)
- (a) Z. H. Zhang, T. S. Li, C. G. Fu., J. Chem. Res. (S), **174**, (1997). (b) T. S. Li, Z. H. Zhang, Y. J. Gao, Synth. Commun., **28**, 4665, (1998).
- J. S. Yadav, J. B. V. S. Reddy, C. Venugopal, T. Ramalingam, *Synlett*, 4, 604, (2002).
- 24. T. S. Jin, G. L. Feng, M. N. Yang, T. S. Li, Synth. Commun. 34, 1645, (2004).
- 25. R. Karimian, F. Piri, S. J. Davarpanah, JNS. 3, 387, (2013).